

OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Monday, 21 September 2020 at 6.30 p.m., Online 'Virtual' Meeting https://towerhamlets.public-i.tv/core/portal/home

This meeting is open to the public to attend.

Members:

Chair: Councillor James King Vice Chair:

Councillor Kahar Chowdhury Councillor Dipa Das Councillor Tarik Khan Councillor Bex White

Councillor Amina Ali Councillor Marc Francis Councillor Gabriela Salva Macallan Councillor Andrew Wood

Co-opted Members:

Halima Islam James Wilson Co-Optee Co-Optee

Deputies:

Councillor Peter Golds, Councillor Zenith Rahman, Councillor Mohammed Pappu and Councillor John Pierce

[The quorum for this body is 3 voting Members]

Contact for further enquiries: David Knight, Democratic Services 1st Floor, Town Hall, Town Hall, Mulberry Place, 5 Clove Crescent, London, E14 2BG Tel: 020 7364 4878 E-mail: david.knight@towerhamlets.gov.uk Web: http://www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/committee



Scan this code for

the electronic

Scrutiny Lead for Health & Adults Scrutiny Lead for Housing & Regeneration Scrutiny Lead for Resources & Finance Scrutiny Lead for Community Safety & Environment

quired by the Code.	
oubt as to the nature of your interest, you are ek advice prior to the meeting by contacting th oring Officer or Democratic Services	

To confirm as a correct record of the proceedings the unrestricted minutes of the last meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

4. **REQUESTS TO SUBMIT PETITIONS**

To receive any petitions (to be notified at the meeting).

Copies of agendas, reports and minutes for council meetings can also be found on our website from day of publication. To access this, click www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/committee and search for QR code for the relevant committee and meeting date. smart phone Agendas are available at the Town Hall, Libraries, Idea Centres and One users. Stop Shops and on the Mod.Gov, iPad and Android apps.

SECTION ONE

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

To receive any apologies for absence.

Electronic agendas reports and minutes.

DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE 2. PECUNIARY INTEREST AND OTHER **INTERESTS**

Members are reminded to consider the categories of interest in the Code of Conduct for Members to determine whether they have an interest in any agenda item and any action they should take. For further details, please see the attached note from the Monitoring Officer.

Members are reminded to declare the nature of the interest and the agenda item it relates to. Please note that ultimately it's the Members' responsibility to declare any interests form and to update their register of interest form as req

If in do to see Monito

UNRESTRICTED MINUTES 3.

7 - 8

PAGE NUMBER(S)



WARD

9 - 36

All Wards

5.	FORTHCOMING DECISIONS	All Wards
6.	UNRESTRICTED REPORTS 'CALLED IN'	All Wards
	Nil items	
7.	SCRUTINY SPOTLIGHT	
7 .1	Finance Spotlight: Overspends in Children's & Culture and Health, Adults & Community	All Wards
	To follow	
8.	UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR CONSIDERATION	
8 .1	Overview and Scrutiny Committee Annual Report	All Wards
	To follow	
8 .2	Overview and Scrutiny Committee COVID-19 Report	All Wards
	To follow	
8 .3	Review of Overview and Scrutiny Committee 2019/20	All Wards
	To follow	
9.	PRE-DECISION SCRUTINY OF UNRESTRICTED CABINET PAPERS	All Wards
	To consider and agree pre-decision scrutiny questions/comments to be presented to Cabinet.	
	(Time allocated – 30 minutes).	
10.	VERBAL UPDATES FROM SCRUTINY LEADS	All Wards
	(Time allocated – 5 minutes each)	
11.	ANY OTHER UNRESTRICTED BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR CONSIDERS TO BE URGENT	All Wards
	To consider any other unrestricted business that the Chair	

Page 3

considers to be urgent.

12. EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

In view of the contents of the remaining items on the agenda the Committee is recommended to adopt the following motion:

"That, under the provisions of Section 100A of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, the press and public be excluded from the remainder of the meeting for the consideration of the Section Two business on the grounds that it contains information defined as Exempt in Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act, 1972."

EXEMPT/CONFIDENTIAL SECTION (Pink Papers)

The exempt committee papers in the agenda will contain information, which is commercially, legally or personally sensitive and should not be divulged to third parties. If you do not wish to retain these papers after the meeting, please hand them to the Committee Officer present.

	SECTION TWO	WARD	PAGE NUMBER(S)
13.	EXEMPT/ CONFIDENTIAL MINUTES	All Wards	
	Nil items		
14.	EXEMPT/ CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS 'CALLED IN'	All Wards	
	Nil items		
15.	PRE-DECISION SCRUTINY OF EXEMPT/ CONFIDENTIAL) CABINET PAPERS	All Wards	
	To consider and agree pre-decision scrutiny questions/comments to be presented to Cabinet.		
	(Time allocated 15 minutes).		
16.	ANY OTHER EXEMPT/ CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIR CONSIDERS	All Wards	

URGENT

Nil items

Next Meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee Monday, 26 October 2020 at 6.30 p.m. to be held in Online 'Virtual' Meeting -https://towerhamlets.public-i.tv/core/portal/home

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 2

DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS AT MEETINGS- NOTE FROM THE MONITORING OFFICER

This note is for guidance only. For further details please consult the Code of Conduct for Members at Part C, Section 31 of the Council's Constitution

(i) Disclosable Pecuniary Interests (DPI)

You have a DPI in any item of business on the agenda where it relates to the categories listed in **Appendix A** to this guidance. Please note that a DPI includes: (i) Your own relevant interests; (ii)Those of your spouse or civil partner; (iii) A person with whom the Member is living as husband/wife/civil partners. Other individuals, e.g. Children, siblings and flatmates do not need to be considered. Failure to disclose or register a DPI (within 28 days) is a criminal offence.

Members with a DPI, (unless granted a dispensation) must not seek to improperly influence the decision, must declare the nature of the interest and leave the meeting room (including the public gallery) during the consideration and decision on the item – unless exercising their right to address the Committee.

DPI Dispensations and Sensitive Interests. In certain circumstances, Members may make a request to the Monitoring Officer for a dispensation or for an interest to be treated as sensitive.

(ii) Non - DPI Interests that the Council has decided should be registered – (Non - DPIs)

You will have 'Non DPI Interest' in any item on the agenda, where it relates to (i) the offer of gifts or hospitality, (with an estimated value of at least £25) (ii) Council Appointments or nominations to bodies (iii) Membership of any body exercising a function of a public nature, a charitable purpose or aimed at influencing public opinion.

Members must declare the nature of the interest, but may stay in the meeting room and participate in the consideration of the matter and vote on it **unless**:

• A reasonable person would think that your interest is so significant that it would be likely to impair your judgement of the public interest. If so, you must withdraw and take no part in the consideration or discussion of the matter.

(iii) Declarations of Interests not included in the Register of Members' Interest.

Occasions may arise where a matter under consideration would, or would be likely to, **affect the wellbeing of you, your family, or close associate(s) more than it would anyone else living in the local area** but which is not required to be included in the Register of Members' Interests. In such matters, Members must consider the information set out in paragraph (ii) above regarding Non DPI - interests and apply the test, set out in this paragraph.

Guidance on Predetermination and Bias

Member's attention is drawn to the guidance on predetermination and bias, particularly the need to consider the merits of the case with an open mind, as set out in the Planning and Licensing Codes of Conduct, (Part C, Section 34 and 35 of the Constitution). For further advice on the possibility of bias or predetermination, you are advised to seek advice prior to the meeting.

Section 106 of the Local Government Finance Act, 1992 - Declarations which restrict Members in Council Tax arrears, for at least a two months from voting

In such circumstances the member may not vote on any reports and motions with respect to the matter.

<u>Further Advice</u> contact: Asmat Hussain, Corporate Director, Governance and Monitoring Officer, Tel: 0207 364 4800.

APPENDIX A: Definition of a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest

(Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests) Regulations 2012, Reg 2 and Schedule)

Subject	Prescribed description
Employment, office, trade, profession or vacation	Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain.
Sponsorship	Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit (other than from the relevant authority) made or provided within the relevant period in respect of any expenses incurred by the Member in carrying out duties as a member, or towards the election expenses of the Member. This includes any payment or financial benefit from a trade union within the meaning of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.
Contracts	Any contract which is made between the relevant person (or a body in which the relevant person has a beneficial interest) and the relevant authority— (a) under which goods or services are to be provided or works are to be executed; and (b) which has not been fully discharged.
Land	Any beneficial interest in land which is within the area of the relevant authority.
Licences	Any licence (alone or jointly with others) to occupy land in the area of the relevant authority for a month or longer.
Corporate tenancies	Any tenancy where (to the Member's knowledge)— (a) the landlord is the relevant authority; and (b) the tenant is a body in which the relevant person has a beneficial interest.
Securities	Any beneficial interest in securities of a body where— (a) that body (to the Member's knowledge) has a place of business or land in the area of the relevant authority; and (b) either—
	(i) the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body; or
	(ii) if the share capital of that body is of more than one class, the total nominal value of the shares of any one class in which the relevant person has a beneficial interest exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.

LONDON BOROUGH OF TOWER HAMLETS

MINUTES OF THE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

HELD AT 6.30 P.M. ON MONDAY, 27 JULY 2020

ONLINE 'VIRTUAL' MEETING - HTTPS://TOWERHAMLETS.PUBLIC-I.TV/CORE/PORTAL/HOME

Members Present:

Councillor James King (Chair) Councillor Kahar Chowdhury Councillor Dipa Das

Councillor Tarik Khan

Councillor Bex White

Councillor Marc Francis Councillor Gabriela Salva Macallan

Co-opted Members Present:

Halima Islam	_	Co-Optee
James Wilson	_	Co-Optee

Other Councillors Present:

Councillor Rachel Blake Mayor John Biggs Councillor Candida Ronald

Apologies:

Councillor Andrew Wood

Officers Present:

Adam Boey

Afazul Hoque

Dan Jones Daniel Kerr Neville Murton Joanne Starkie

Denise Radley

- (Senior Strategy & Policy Manager -Corporate)
- (Head of Corporate Strategy & Policy)
- (Divisional Director, Public Realm)
- (Strategy and Policy Manager)
- (Corporate Director, Resources)
- (Head of Strategy and Policy -Health Adults and Communities)
- (Corporate Director, Health, Adults &

- Scrutiny Lead for Health & Adults
- Scrutiny Lead for Housing & Regeneration
- Scrutiny Lead for Resources & Finance
- Scrutiny Lead for Community Safety & Environment

David Knight

Zoe Folley

Community)

- (Democratic Services Officer, Committees, Governance)
- (Democratic Services Officer,
 - Committees, Governance)

1. DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTEREST AND OTHER INTERESTS

Councillor Kahar Chowdhury declared a Non - DPI interest in any budget items relating to the care sector due to his wife's employment in that sector.

2. UNRESTRICTED MINUTES

The Chair Moved and it was:-

RESOLVED

1. That the unrestricted minutes of the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee held on 22 June 2020 be approved and signed by the Chair as a correct record of the proceedings.

In respect of item 7, Verbal updates from scrutiny leads, it was agreed that the Scrutiny Lead's written updates would be attached to the minutes. (Attached)

Regarding the minutes of the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee held on 24 February 2020, item 8.3, One O' clock club, it was confirmed that the resolution should be amended to read:

RESOLVED that on the basis of the information and advice received that the decision to close this service did not meet the threshold of a key decision.

The committee further discussed how it might be advisable for the council to conduct some of the exercises a key decision warrants, like public consultation or sign off by an appropriate Executive member when service proposed for closure are long standing, public facing or likely to produce a strong public reaction

In response to a request by Councillor Marc Francis, it was reported that unfortunately, recordings would not routinely be made of exempt/restricted parts of meetings and one was not available in this case. Democratic Services agreed respond to this point in writing.

3. **REQUESTS TO SUBMIT PETITIONS**

Nil items

4. FORTHCOMING DECISIONS

Noted

5. BUDGET MONITORING

The Committee received a report from Mayor John Biggs, Councillor Candida Ronald and Neville Murton. This provided an overview of the quarter 3 monitoring position against the approved budget, 2019-20.

The following points were discussed.

- That the Cabinet agenda for 29th July 2020 comprised a number of reports relating to the budget and the impact of COVID-19.
- Details of the budget outturn position in relation to the General Fund, Dedicated Schools Budget, Housing Revenue Account and earmarked reserves for 2020-21.
- The natures of the challenges due in the main to COVID-19, particularly in relation to the demand for services, income from business rates, the Council Tax Reduction Scheme, and similar to other Councils, an overspend on the Children's Social Care budget
- Level of additional government funding received.
- That to help address this, the Council should continue to work with other Councils to lobby the Government to provide assistance.

In response to the presentation, the Committee discussed the following:

- Members sought further details on the nature of the overspend and the likelihood of further slippages.
- It was confirmed that, unless the Government provided further assistance, the Council will need to identify additional saving. In addition, it was possible that the savings target may no longer be achievable, due to such factors as unavoidable delays in consultation exercises because of COVID-19. The Council needed to carry out further work to fully identify the implications of this.
- The Committee also discussed the provision of Government assistance, providing the Council with additional funding and concessions. Neville Murton noted that this amounted to £19.4M.
- The new measures enabled the Council to spread the deficit over three years and provided relief in relation to a number of areas. It was noted that this funding should reduce the budget deficit. Further support may be provided.
- Committee were also advised of governance guidance regarding operating with a deficit and loss of income.
- The Committee asked questions about the level of overspend due to COVID-19.
- It was noted that the Capital Outturn report sets out the figures. The report indicated that, even with the mitigation, funding would need to be drawn down from reserves. Details of which were noted.
- A further report should be available in Autumn 2020.
- The Committee also sought further information on the Children's Service Budget, given the previous overspend on the budget relating to

the Children's Improvement Plan. Some concern was expressed about the misjudgement over the level of funding and the impacts such issues have on budget planning.

- In response, Members were advised of the reasons for this and the need for the additional resources at that time to support the plan. However, it was noted that the overspend for this year is less than that for other services. Many other Councils have experienced the same issues. The Council had also put in place a number of measures to reduce the overspend by for example reducing the number of agency social workers.
- Members sought assurances on the controls to ensure that spending remained within budget, and budget holders were held to account for spending. It was felt that tracking savings should be a priority.
- It was noted that Budgets were managed in line with the Council's constitution including the finance and procurement regulations.
- Officers had introduced additional controls and intended to put in place further controls to ensure this, including applying restrictions over recruitment and strengthening the internal mechanisms in holding budget holders to account.
- The Committee Chair suggested that the budget process for next year should start now, including much earlier consultation – given the importance of upcoming decisions.
- The Committee also discussed the consequences of a reduction of reserves and unmade savings.
- The Committee also noted the advantages and disadvantages of a three-year rolling budget. A Member suggested that consideration should be looking at this year in isolation under the circumstances and this issue should be looked at further.

Mayor John Biggs and Councillor Candida Ronald were thanked for their presentation.

6. LIVEABLE STREETS

The Committee received an update from Councillor Dan Tomlinson (Cabinet Member, Environment and the Public Realm) and Dan Jones, (Divisional Director, Public Realm) on the Liveable Streets programme.

Councillor Tomlinson advised of the key objective of the scheme, which can be summarised as follows:

- to improve the look and feel of public spaces.
- to improve the environment to encourage more walking and cycling.
- to significantly reduce cut through traffic on local residential streets, through for example, identifying and consulting on the use of more suitable routes for such traffic.

He also gave an overview of the following issues:

OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE, 27/07/2020

- The progress in meeting the timetable, highlighting the delays in carrying out the work for certain areas due in main to COVID-19. A number of projects remained broadly on schedule. It was anticipated that, due to the work to catch up, projects should still be completed on time. However, extensions to timeframes have been agreed where necessary.
- The TfL decision regarding the funding of the scheme. There would be a report to the Cabinet in September 2020 on the funding for the project.
- The consultation exercise.

The full presentation was available on the Council's website.

In response to the presentation, the following points were discussed:

- In relation to the consultation, the Committee noted the checks carried out on responses to identify the number of local responses.
- Further details of the responses received can be provided to the Committee Members.
- The importance of the Council taking a proactive approach to its communications for the scheme.
- It was noted that the Council sought to reach out to the community in a number of ways. In relation to this, Councillor Tomlinson highlighted the work of Councillors in engaging with the local community on the scheme. Other activities included: posting out hard copies of leaflets on the proposals to households, holding workshops and engaging with key stakeholders and groups that would be most affected by any changes. In line with other schemes, Community language leaflets could be provided if there was demand for these.
- The implications of the TfL decision on the budget. As a result of this, it
 was noted that it may be necessary to review the programme in light of
 this. The Council was still in the process of reviewing the funding for
 the scheme. A report on the Liveable Streets programme and the
 funding for it was due to be considered by the Cabinet in September
 2020. The Committee noted that they should look out for the capital
 programme report to identify how the Liveable Streets programme is
 included.
- The impact of the traffic diversions on low income families and social housing. Members were keen to ensure that it did not adversely affect particular groups.
- In response Councillor Tomlinson stated that he was mindful of the need to take into account the different needs of residents, and to seek a balance between this and meeting the aims of the scheme. He underlined his commitment to carefully consider the consultation responses before taking any decision. The impact from for example road closures were constantly reviewed.
- That the Council's engaged with Housing Associations and Registered Social Landlords to coordinate work, and more details can be provided on this.

OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE, 27/07/2020

- That need for risk assessments for emergency access routes. The Council always worked to ensure that the Emergency Services were consulted and had no objections to proposals.
- The decision to focus on the roads most in need in improvement. The Council could still make improvements to roads not in this scheme.
- The measures to provide CCTV and control speeding cars.
- It was confirmed that the focus of the scheme mainly concerned improving local streets. However, the enhancements should also help address ASB, for example the Barkentine proposals sought to provide CCTV. Further consideration can also be given to ways the plans may help prevent ASB.
- The e-petition published on the Council's website on road closures.
- It was felt that it would be helpful if the Council could consider this Petition before the Cabinet meeting where the decision on the scheme was taken scheduled for September 2020.
- The scheme would be regularly monitored and reviewed.
- Councillor Dan Tomlinson reported that he was happy to provide an update on the programme at future meeting.

The Committee thanked Councillor Dan Tomlinson for his presentation.

7. IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON LBTH

The Committee received a presentation from Councillor Rachel Blake, (Deputy Mayor and Cabinet Member for Adults, Health and Wellbeing), Denise Radley, (Corporate Director for Adults Health and Wellbeing) and Joanne Starkie(Head of Strategy and Policy – Health, Adults and Communities). The presentation provided an overview of the impact of COVID-19 on the borough – a more comprehensive set of detailed slides was made available. The full presentation was available on the Council's website.

The discussions on this presentation are summarised as follows:

- The report and appendices described the impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Tower Hamlets.
- The presentation covered 15 topics, and the first seven of which had been identified as the most significant.
- The Committee noted details of each of these topics in turn, particularly the issues around: mortality and physical health, mental health, social care, deprivation and employment, business, community and voluntary sector, homelessness and rough sleeping, safeguarding adults and children, domestic abuse, crime and ASB, education and learning, transport and air quality, community cohesion and the LBTH workforce.
- The presentation also focused on the national impact to date, the local impact, and any groups particularly effected.
- The presentation then looked at predicted future opportunities and challenges.

OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE, 27/07/2020

In response to the presentation, a Member stressed the need to look at the at the use of Equalities Grids at other Council's such as at Hackney and Newham. Councillor Blake undertook to look at this. She also advised that she was mindful of the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on the BME community both in terms of the health impacts and economic issues. In view of this, the Administration had launched a new Race Inequality Enquiry to ensure this was at the centre of work. The Council had also made recommendations to the Government Review.

A Member also asked about the work to identify best practice elsewhere. In response, it was noted that the Council worked closely with other Council and external bodies through for example participating in

- a north east London Track and Trace group with the NHS
- the Directors of Public Health Networks
- Safeguarding Adults Boards
- Working with other Local Authorities to gather information and coordinate a response.

The Committee thanked Councillor Rachel Blake, Denise Radley, and Joanne Starkie for attending the Committee to provide the presentation and respond to questions.

8. COVID-19 SCRUTINY FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISCUSSION

The Chair invited the Committee comments on the Committee's draft report on the above following their review. He invited the Chairs of the Scrutiny Sub – Committees to report back on the findings of their recent meetings regarding the impact on COVID-19 and also the Scrutiny Leads to report.

In response the following issues were noted:

Councillor Kahar Chowdhury (Chair of the Health & Adults Scrutiny Sub Committee) made suggestions in respect of the following areas/recommendations in the draft report:

- Communication with the community particularly the need to reach out to hard to reach groups and the need to carry out outreach work.
- Uptake of testing the need to target at risk groups, working with relevant groups, and to gain a better understanding of their circumstances, and to monitor testing.
- Shielding provision of help and support to those who needed to shield including pathways. In relation to this point it was noted that the Government's shielding programme had come to an end this week. The Council had agreed a follow up programme with Primary Care and was considering how we take this forward

Comments were also made regarding:

- Care homes and the need to put in place recommendations to improve the situation in such settings. In response, the Corporate Director drew attention to the Care Homes Support Plan. This report sets out a summary of work the council has undertaken with partners (through the Integrated Care Partnership Tower Hamlets) to support local care homes during the coronavirus pandemic. This is available on Council's website. The Corporate Director had also prepared a learning review of the impact. This should be ready soon and she was happy to circulate this to the Committee.
- The need to support test and contract trace. The report should look at how this can be supported.
- The need to look at the suitability of homeliness and housing placements in a pandemic. The Council should apply for additional funding from the everyone is in funding.

Councillor Gabriela Salva Macallan (Chair of the Children and Education Scrutiny Sub Committee) reported that whilst her Sub – Committee had yet to meet, she considered it important to support schools and young people in respect of the following areas:

- Responding to the budget contains.
- The delivery of the recovery programme
- Access to education and the re opening up of schools.
- Provision of free school meals and reviewing impacts of the changes on this.

Councillor Tarik Khan (Scrutiny Lead for Resources & Finance) also commented on the report. He stressed the need to:

- make savings by looking at selling assets to ease the burden
- to support local economy and use of local providers.

Councillor Bex White (Scrutiny Lead for Community Safety & Environment) addressed the Committee. She spoke about the quickness of the Council's response to providing social distancing measures in comparisons to other Council's and the need for this to be reviewed in case there is a second wave.

The Committee also discussed a proposal to increase the grant support to market traders provided by the Local Authority Discretionary Grants Scheme if there was an underspend on this budget. It was noted that the Government had announced that assistance will come to an end and that any underspend should be returned to the Government.

9. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS 'CALLED IN'

Nil Items

10. VERBAL UPDATES FROM SCRUTINY LEADS

Reported under item 9.

11. PRE-DECISION SCRUTINY OF UNRESTRICTED CABINET PAPERS

As per attached appendices.

12. ANY OTHER UNRESTRICTED BUSINESS WHICH THE CHAIR **CONSIDERS TO BE URGENT**

Nil Items

13. **EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC**

Nil Items

14. **EXEMPT/ CONFIDENTIAL MINUTES**

Nil items

15. **EXEMPT/ CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS 'CALLED IN'**

Nil items

PRE-DECISION SCRUTINY OF EXEMPT/ CONFIDENTIAL) CABINET 16. PAPERS

Nil items

ANY OTHER EXEMPT/ CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIR 17. **CONSIDERS URGENT**

Nil items

The meeting ended at 8.30 p.m.

Chair, Councillor James King **Overview & Scrutiny Committee** This page is intentionally left blank

Questions	Response
1. Are there any areas we can identify savings?	The cross-cutting themes identified in the impact assessment in terms of "how to grasp opportunities with familiarity with technology, community mobilisation and cohesion, healthy lifestyles, 'green recovery'" and other potential opportunities will feed into the 2020-21 recovery plans and 2021-24 MTFS refresh. The Corporate Leadership Team are also engaged in identifying new areas for savings in light of the pandemic and these options will be presented to members in due course.
2. Is there any capital spend we can make to ease the recovery?	The capital programme is currently being reviewed in line with strategic priorities and will be reproduced to Cabinet in September 2020. Corporate Directors are considering projects for inclusion in the revised programme and will be considering those that may ease recovery.For example our continuing investment in new homes
3. Given how important Street space is to residents, does the Mayor feel that appropriate resource is allocated to this in forward planning?	The funding of £30m for the Streetspace plan has been made available by TfL for all London Boroughs to bid competitively for. The funding is limited and does not cover all programmes that the boroughs applied for.
	TfL Streetspace for London plan, launched in May 2020, aims to help create more space on streets so people can walk or cycle while social distancing. The Streetsplace plan is for places where temporary changes are needed to support social distancing or that would benefit from cycling and walking improvements.

	The council submitted eight separate bid documents comprising up to 43 schemes. TfL have confirmed funding for 33 schemes, one of our schemes is on 'hold' (not received funding this time) with the remainder to be confirmed. To date £298,020 worth of funding has been approved and £228,695 received.
4. Can we have an analysis of mental health admittance rates (or similar) on a cumulative basis compared to other years or by month for the last 12 months to see whether COVID has caused an overall increase in mental health issues sufficient to require treatment.	This information is largely held by health services and has been requested. As noted in the impact assessment, feedback from health commissioners is that have not yet seen an overall increase in mental health issues that require hospital admittance (though feedback on the earliest indications of July data may suggest this is now changing). Inpatient occupancy rates have dropped by 20-30% in recent months. This is largely thought to be due to changes in the way mental health services have been organised as a consequence of the pandemic: In line with the national picture, most mental health services moved away from face-to-face and hospital-based provision at the start of the emergency unless essential. Some mental health services accepted only urgent referrals or ran with an adapted offer. However, increases in demand can be seen elsewhere, and feedback is that: - The East London NHS Trust Home Treatment team have been supporting more people in their homes.

	accepted referra two months. - For comr are now starting people seeking Psychological T COVID levels at referrals. The ne 615 in May and - As noted number of calls Mental Health C 882 in April, and	als have increation non mental here to see a rise help through I herapies (IAP iter two month umber of refer 1147 in June in the impact made to the T crisis phone lin 811 in May 2	rals was 406 in April, 2020. assessment the ower Hamlets he was 743 in March, 2020.
5. How many people died from all causes and from COVID in each care home, by week and as a % of residents? Given concerns that in some care homes half their residents died in a 5 week period. The 37 deaths mentioned on page 10 of the full slide pack is different from ONS numbers.	The number of people who passed away in the five Tower Hamlets care homes for older people (or care home residents who died in hospital) by week, is as follows:		
	Week ending	COVID- related	Non-COVID
	22 nd March	0	2
	29 th March	5	4
	5 th April	3	6
	12 th April	19	4
	19 th April	6	2
	26 th April	2	1
	3 rd May	1	2
	10 th May	0	0
	17 th May	1	0
	24 th May	0	1

	with the Care Que for older people.	uality Commissio However, it is n ber of COVID-re care home resi the number of	elated deaths as a dents in Tower
		erent than ONS re reported two ne lag in reported to Care Quality	figures because weekly and there d figures. We have Commission
6. Do we have any intelligence on the demand for office space in Tower Hamlets as a result of possible changes in work routines? Given the prominence of places like Canary Wharf to the local and national economy	occupancy rates possible change However a rece impact of C19 o • 'Take up' 2020 (April-June	ion to demand (E s) for office space es in work routine nt report from JL n London's Offic of office space i e) is at the lowes Quarter 2 data ov	Deals for sales and e as a result of es. L looking at the e Space suggests: n Quarter 2 of t point in rer a 10-year time

average).
65% of surveyed respondents in the same
report who previously did not work from home,
would now like to incorporate working from home
in the future.
• It would be an oversimplification to say that
an increase in home working will directly correlate
in reduced demand for office space. This will be
influenced by a broad mix of factors such as: The
overall public health situation, government
guidelines, economic growth, company culture,
technology and innovation needs, commuting
behaviour and how companies define real estate
cost versus talent retention and acquisition cost.
Central London office stock is generally
expected to remain more attractive than other
office locations as it is anticipated that
agglomeration economics will still be applicable
and transport infrastructure provides strong
accessibility with a proximity of highly qualified
people.
people.
The report then summarises that office space will
change and predicts that we are likely to see:
Central hub's for companies and
•
organisations
Smaller individual offices – reducing the stock of core assets
An increased requirement for collaboration
space, amenities and a stronger emphasis on
wellness
Office space will need to provide a greater
degree of flexibility

	 Landlords will have to adopt a more operational model – e.g. rental income linked to business turnover.
7. Do we have any stats about cumulative or per month incidents of child safety? To see whether lockdown caused the problems we feared or not	 Following lockdown there was an initial dip in overall referral numbers to children's social care – likely due to school closure as schools are a significant source of referrals and also the place that children are seen most regularly by a professional. We have seen a rise in the number of contacts and referrals to date as more children return to school and lockdown measures lift. A significant proportion are linked to a spike in domestic abuse concerns seen from mid-April onwards. As with other local authorities, we expect that this
	increase in cases will continue once schools fully re-open in September. The likely rise in demand will be linked to domestic abuse, neglect and trauma/loss. We will not know the full extent of the issues until then but are already making a range of preparations for the anticipated 'spike'.
	The number of children subject to Child Protection Plans has increased from 235 at the beginning of May to 303 currently. The number of children looked after remains largely stable.
8. Did air quality improve during lockdown from all types of pollutants and at all monitoring stations?	There has been a noticeable reduction in air pollution within the borough during lockdown from March 2020 till June 2020. The borough has two roadside monitoring points, one at Mile End and

	the other at Blackwall where two key pollutants, Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2) and Particulate Matter (PM2.5), are measured. Data show that NO2 level measured in the two points for the lockdown period was significantly less than the previous year and under the legal limits for NO2 annual average of 40 µg/m ³ . At the Blackwall monitoring station, the PM2.5 level during the period was consistently lower than the previous year. However, at the Mile End monitoring station, the PM2.5 levels in May and June 2020 were higher than the previous year. It is known that the PM2.5 levels are influenced by many factors, including weather (e.g. little wind causes less dispersion) and seasonal (e.g. ammonia use in farms in spring). It should be noted that the levels at both stations were much lower than the UK recommended level of 25 µg/m ³ annual mean.
Item 6.3 Medium Term Financial Strategy Refresh & 2021-22 Budget Planning	
Questions	Response
 What is the aim behind extending the MTFS by a further year to cover the period ending 2024? 	The MTFS covers a three year period. The last MTFS covered 2020-23. The new MTFS covers

	2021-24.
2.What is the difference in the figures in this plan from what was agreed on earlier in the year? How are these going to impact on services? How will this be managed? 2. What recourse is there for Pudget holders who sign off every period.	The MTFS has been updated with the latest estimated government, council tax and business rates income. This includes consideration of the economic impacts of the pandemic. Required savings and growth will be considered over the coming months including views expressed through the annual budget consultation.
3. What recourse is there for Budget holders who sign off overspending?	Budgets are managed in line with the Council's constitution including the finance and procurement regulations.
4. Given the shock of COVID, an imminent recession & possibly fundamental changes to the economy of Tower Hamlets is this not the time to change the way we budget to a bottom up or zero based budget model to review everything we do?	Zero based budgeting processes often lead to additional cost requests and can result in a higher call on budgetary resources than before. Additionally, they are very resource hungry to undertake and we do not have those resources available at the present time. However we are asking managers to consider the need for service change across all services.
Item 6.4 Financial Outturn for 2019-20	Response
 What are the key disparities in the Council's expenditure last year compared to what was initially budgeted? 	Please refer to the individual directorate outturn sections, where significant overspends are all listed out.
2. What are the realistic implications from removing the £11.7m from the New Home Bonus reserve? How is this going to be managed?	Since no confirmed plans had ever been approved for this resource yet, it will not mean cancellation of any planned schemes. However, in substance it does mean that capital investment opportunity may have been lost (while some ongoing services will have been maintained).
 Why does the council not maintain General Fund Reserves lower than £20m? 	This level is deemed the minimum appropriate to manage unforeseen risks. (It is common practice

	for local authorities to maintain GF balances at 5- 10% of their net revenue budgets.)
Capital Receipts p26	After the initial three years if we have not spent the RTB receipts there is a roll-over period whereby
Less: poolable amount paid to DCLG (13.7)	MHCLG pass them to the GLA to administer for a further three years.
Wayside Gardens 1.9	
4. the £13.7 million poolable paid to DCLG – is this still being held for us by the GLA?	
5. Wayside Gardens receipt of £1.9 million – will this be spent in Canary Wharf ward on new green areas given that is where the money came from?	Not necessarily. Capital expenditure is funded from a variety of sources (capital receipts, s106, CIL, grants, borrowing), and where external funding is not available, it is advantageous to finance short life assets with capital receipts, and long-life assets with borrowing.
Item 6.5 Budget Monitor as at Period 2 for 2020/21	Response

1.	What are the margins of error for these projections?	There isn't a specific margin of error that we could quote, and we should acknowledge that the current unprecedented circumstances have a heightened sense of uncertainty; but these projections are the best professional estimates of service managers and finance staff at this time.
2.	What actions can we take to mitigate against this overspend? Presently and in future	 The Corporate Director – Resources has already sent out a communication to the organisation with the following actions: An immediate recruitment freeze An immediate freeze on additional agency placements An urgent review of Agency staff contracts A Review of overall staffing levels taking into account defined essential services An enhanced requirement for the delivery of agreed savings A review of all posts currently funded through reserves
3.	How are we monitoring spillages?	(Assuming that the typo is for capital slippages) these are monitored as part of the Capital Budget Management process.
4.	These number do not seem to match the Councils 30 th June press release which said there was a £55.12 million financial impact from COVID in April to June? What has changed between the 30 th June report and these numbers? Can we have a reconciliation between these two numbers and why the Council now believe we will end the year substantially less worse off then 3 weeks ago?	Different reports are quoting figures on different bases, and at different times. The P2 monitor was based on estimates in early May. The £55m quoted in the press is composed of: General Fund - £18m HRA £1.8m Funding (Business Rates and Council Tax) £35.2m. The figure of £19.5m in the P2 monitor, albeit only

	referring to General Fund costs, is now looking like an under-estimate, and we are seeing higher estimates come through in the early drafts of the P3 monitor.
 5. There is no clear breakdown in the analysis between: Previous year's budget over-runs which continue into this year Delays to saving commitments Other non-COVID related issues Direct COVID costs or savings i.e. PPE purchases Direct COVID loss of income i.e. schools meals, parking Indirect COVID related costs and income i.e. falls in inflation, Tower Rewards delay Although the notes do provide some details Can this be supplied?	This is not a straightforward exercise, and will require more time than the 24 hours given to officers to work up. We will follow up with a written response once prepared.
6. What COVID costs did LBTH report to MHCLG for April, May, June?	April: £24.4m May: £29.2m June: £24.7m The figures above are estimated annual costs (ie not for that month alone), and do not include lost income such as Council Tax and Business Rates.
Item 6.6 Oaklands School – Use of Raines School Lower Site	Response
 When are legal disputes between the Trust and Diocese expected to be concluded? 	It is not possible to assess how long the dispute will take to resolve. The Diocese is seeking legal advice on the Raine's Foundation Trust's clam to the all of the site ownership. Depending upon its

 What is happening to the Upper school site? Given the substantial investment in public funds in recent years. 	 legal advice it will be for the Diocese to decide on whether it can resolve the matter quickly or contest the Trust's claim. Upper School site is owned by the Raine's Foundation Trust. It has stated that its intention is for the building to continue to be used for the benefit of the community and for educational purposes. The matter of the investment would be become an issue if the Trust wanted to sell all or part of the site.
Item 6.7 Safe and Viable Re-opening of Leisure Centres	Response
1. Is there a timeline to the recovery of money?	The management fee will be repaid over the period of the contract, ending in April 2022. Financial assistance to GLL would be undertaken by operating a transparent, open book accounting approach. However, it is important that GLL's accounts are scrutinised to ensure the absolute minimal level of financial support from the council is determined and that GLL does not profit from any assistance given.
2 How will this affect the contract going forward? We should not be in a position where we have to give GLL an extension to recover the costs	The contract duration has not been changed and it will end in April 2022. GLL has committed to repaying the management fee within the timeframe of the existing contract (see 1.above). Officers are also negotiating to vary the contract so that the council would receive a high proportion of the surplus share, over and above the repayment of the management fee.
3. Can we have the findings of the consultancy work undertaken by the GLL London Client group mentioned in 2.3 which looks at the viability of the service and demonstrates that bringing the service in house does not bring value?	A number of the GLL client group local authorities have undertaken options appraisals for the reprocurement of their leisure contracts including bringing the services in-house. In summary, they have found that councils that have contracted out

Has the impact of the price change impact been modelled on the service?	 their leisure management services do not currently retain the management expertise required for running such facilities internally, so a new management team would need to be employed. The operational staff would also need to employed by the council via TUPE arrangements. This option would certainly increase the cost of running the centres for the council as it misses out on the management and supply chain economies of scale delivered by contracting an established provider with a large portfolio; it would also mean that that risk and liability of centre operations sit with the council. The price increases have been proposed to support GLL in recovering their financial position. The increases have been negotiated with GLL in order to ensure that the concessionary pricing for over 60's, under 16's, concessionary groups and borough residents is retained at a low level. The modelling undertaken by GLL shows that there will be little impact on those who currently qualify for concessionary rates. The increases in prices are mainly targeted at adult non-members and adult members, and will bring the prices more in line with the market benchmark with neighbouring boroughs.
4Do we have a breakdown of the £593k cost by centre/type of cost?	GLL has provided detailed financial information showing the loss of income during the lockdown period for each leisure centre. This information is commercially sensitive and is exempt from publication. However, it is possible to confirm that the loss of income has resulted in GLL being faced

	with all the utilities costs, COVID-19 related costs and maintenance costs for all the leisure centre. Most staff were furloughed, with the exception of a small number of managers who have kept the centres "ticking over" during lockdown. The furloughed staff have been paid through the government support scheme. As part of the agreement, GLL will also provide open book accounting to enable the council to regularly review their financial performance.
Item 6.8 Update on Youth Service Delivery Model (Commissioned and Inhouse)	Response
1.What is the real terms saving against the part of the contract currently commissioned?	The current commissioned activity of the youth service is 30% of the youth service budget. (£987k). The proposed commissioned activity from 2021 will be 59% of the youth service budget. (£1.2m) The savings have been made to the in-house provision based on performance and rationalisation of services.
	The budget in the report includes the proposed additional savings of £100k
2.What centres are no longer being funded and why?	The current youth service commissioning does not fund any centres: all funding relates to activity rather than buildings. The proposed commissioning for 2021- 2024 will not fund centres. The contracts will be for the provision of free, accessible, high quality and well publicised positive activities to young people, aged 11 to 19 (and up to age 25 if they

	have a special need and/or disability): and the commissioned projects form part of the delivery of the Youth Service's core youth offer.
3.When will the contract be written? What opportunity do we have to change the scope of that?	The specification for the tender and contracts will be developed over the next two months. Meetings will be held with stakeholders to solicit their views so that there is an opportunity to reflect any changes from these meetings. The Tender process is planned to begin in September 2020
4.How many targeted youth workers will remain once two posts are deleted?	The proposal is for 4 targeted workers to be part of the 0-25 workforce. The are no plans, at present, to reduce this number.
5.Table of quadrant youth population Given closure of primary schools in the west of the Borough and that 57% of all new housing has to be delivered on the Isle of Dogs and South Poplar why are historical youth projections numbers being used rather than forecasts for 2023, the midpoint of the proposed contract?	The data in the tables was the most up to date available. We know that the borough's population is expected to increase from 317,200 in 2018 to 370,700 in 2028. The figures in the report were taken from the latest ONS experimental youth population estimates for mid-2018. The 2019 estimates are not expected until October 2020.
	Overall Population Projections for Tower Hamlets (March 2018) states that the borough's pension age population (aged 65 and over) is expected to grow faster than any other age group, increasing by 39 per cent by 2028 which is more than double the growth rate for all ages (17 per cent). On the other hand, the number of children in the borough is expected to grow at a much slower rate: a 7% increase in school age children.

 6 (3.9.3). Uncontrollable costs including depreciation, support services, premises costs of £329k in 2021/22. What are these costs? 	The corporate research unit states that all projections are subject to some level of uncertainty and should be treated with caution. Economic and migration patterns will have an impact on population growth in Tower Hamlets Uncontrollable costs are expenses that cannot be unilaterally changed by the service. They are central cost for items that are divided across services e.g. Finance, HR, Health and Safety, Communications. Depreciation is a reduction in value of assets and premises costs are for buildings that the service utilises, whether youth centres or offices such as Mulberry Place and it's share, for example, in insurance, share in rent, cleaning, security costs, etc. These centrally allocated costs are apportioned to all services and, although they appear in the budget, is not under the control of the Divisional or Department heads.
6.9 Contingency Fund – additional Covid-19 support for the Voluntary and Community Sector	Response
1To confirm that there is no 'new' money being announced? This is how we use pre-COVID announced funds.	As set in the report there is £50,000 of new money being allocated to the existing contingency fund budget for to support the VCS sector. This is in addition to the £100k allocated for the contingency fund for this year.
6.11 CCTV Modernisation	Response

1.	Will the long promised Garford Street CCTV camera (to be paid for by the Salvation Army) be included in this programme?	The installation of the Garford Street CCTV camera is already planned. There have been a number of technical challenges which have been hard to overcome. We are working very closely with highways colleagues to progress ASAP. However, it can be confirmed that the equipment installed will be digital and will be compatible with the upgraded system.
2.	Will the upgrade include cameras owned by housing associations like One Housing Group but which are connected to the Council control room?	The upgrade will be for LBTH cameras only. There are no third party organisation cameras connected to the Council control room.
3.	Will there be an opportunity to review the location of cameras many of which reflect historical areas of concern and not necessarily new areas?	 We have commissioned consultants to develop technical specifications for the upgrade. This will include a review of current camera locations and provide costed proposals for: Maintaining the network as is with minor changes / additions / removals Expanding the network of cameras A cost saving option (revenue and capital) It is anticipated that all three models will be available by the end of September for a decision to be made.
		It should be noted that any new locations of cameras must be legally justified in line with requirements of the Protection of Freedoms Act 2012 and the Surveillance Camera Code of Practice. A new protocol has recently been

		developed to assess suggested locations for deployable cameras.
4.	How would the capital element of this programme be funded?	The capital programme is funded by either borrowing grants or other capital related funding streams such as s106 and CIL
5.	Commercialisation of the CCTV service is the ambition." What does this mean?	The CCTV network in Tower Hamlets is relatively large and well developed. We provide a high- quality monitoring service and work closely with partners, including the police, to detect and investigate local crime. Control centre services could be marketed to other local organisations, for example housing providers, to maximise efficiencies and offset the cost of the service to LBTH to ensure the service is financially sustainable. Whilst there are no existing plans for commercialisation, services cannot be provided to third parties without the necessary system upgrade.